

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,208	06/23/2003	Amar N. Neogi	25194	2234
28624	7590 10/10/2006		EXAM	INER
WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT., CH 1J27 P.O. BOX 9777			KILIMAN, LESZEK B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	YAY, WA 98063		1773	

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,208	NEOGI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	leszek b kiliman	1773
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro-	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	•	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	· ·

Art Unit: 1773

(4)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed does not teach of any preferred range of frequencies.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1773

..

4. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over "Acetylation of Solid Wood Using Microwave Heating, Part 2. experiments in Laboratory Scale" by Brelid et al.

Brelid discloses an esterified pine wood made by a process comprising using an untreated pine wood having a moisture content of less than 8% (see Table 4), impregnating the wood with acetic anhydride, microwave heating the impregnated wood at a temperature between 120-130 degree C in a time between 30 to 240 minutes (see Figure 3) to cause esterification reaction between acetic anhydride and hydroxyl groups in the lignocellulosic material of the wood to yield an esterified wood having a degree of esterification or weight gain of about 20 % (see Introduction and Table 2),, removing of excess acetic anhydride and by-product acetic acid by evaporation under vacuum for two hours at 120 degree C to produce a final wood product having a total residue chemicals of about 1.6 % (see the first paragraph, Introduction, Section 2.5, 3.4, and Table 2). Since the pinewood of Brelid is made by a process substantially identical with the process of the claim, it's reasonably expected that the esterified pinewood of Brelid would also have less that about 1% of combined acetic anhydride and by-product acetic acid. If there is any difference, the difference must be minor and obvious.

On the other hand, Brelid discloses that the total residue chemicals that include acetic anhydride, by-product acetic acid and other solvents used in the process are about 1.6 %. It is

Art Unit: 1773

reasonable to expect that the combined acetic anhydride and acetic acid in the final esterified wood is less than 1% as being claimed. It it's not, it would be very close to the claimed range and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the esterified pinewood of Brelid by further removing the residual chemicals to a level where the combined acetic anhydride and acetic acid being less than 1% in order to improve the structure of the final wood product.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brelid et al. in view of USP No 4804384.

As in the above rejection, Brelid teaches a process for esterifying pinewood substantially comprising every limitation of the instant claimed process. However, Brelid does not disclose the impregnating time of 15 to 30 min. The US'384 teaches impregnating time between 1-15 min (col. 4, lines 1-62). It is well within the skill of an average artisan to increase the impregnation time for wood pieces having bigger dimensions to allow the acetic anhydride to penetrate into the wood fibers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to increase

Art Unit: 1773

time of impregnation as taught by US'384 since such would allow sufficient time for the acetic anydride to penetrate into the wood structure.

6. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brelid in view of USP'431.

As discussed above, Brelid teaches a process for esterifying pinewood substantially as claimed. Brelid does not teach removing moisture from the starting wood with solvent. The US'432 teaches the use of solvent, see Fig 1, and claim1. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify process of Brelid by using a high temperature solvent to remove water as taught by USP'431 prior to impregnating the wood in order to enhance the impregnation of acetic anhydride into the cellulose fibers.

The amendments and remarks and Declaration filed by applicants in their last response have been fully considered. The arguments have not been persuasive. The examiner believes that rejections are still proper and are maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to leszek b kiliman whose telephone number is 571-272-1509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, 6.30-5.00.

Art Unit: 1773

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lk

Firm C. L. A. W. ER